Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. If an item is marked Unsatisfactory, Not Applicable, or Not Checked, an explanation must be included in this report.

Operator: AMEREN ILLINOIS COMPANY	Operator ID#: 32513
Inspection Date(s): 6/23/2015	Man Days: 1
Inspection Unit: Springfield	·
Location of Audit: Chatham	
Exit Meeting Contact: Bob Roth	
Inspection Type: Design Testing and Construction	
Pipeline Safety Representative(s): Donald Hankins	
Company Representative to Receive Report: Michael Fuller	
Company Representative's Email Address: mfuller2@ameren.com	

CONSTRUCTION INFORMATION			
Location of Construction:		Construction Performed By:	
KS Energy was installing new gas service at the following locations.	lines in Chatham, Illinois,	KS Energy	
304 Spruce Street 308 Spruce Street 312 Spruce Street 313 Spruce Street			
Contractor Foreman:	Kevin Clayton, Foreman		
Operator Inspector:	Nate Chandler		
	Person(s) On J	Job Site	
Randy Zucker			

Description of Construction:

KS Energy was installing new 1/2" plastic service lines in Chatham. A new 4" plastic main had already been installed, and they were converting the old service line over using new 1/2" Driscoplex pipe.

SERVICE INSTALLATION		Status
[192.55]	Steel	No
General Comment:	·	
The system that was being installed is all plastic pipe.		

Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. If an item is marked Unsatisfactory, Not Applicable, or Not Checked, an explanation must be included in this report.

[192.59]	PE	Yes
General Comment:		
1/2" Driscoplex pipe was being used.		
[192.59]	Other	No
General Comment:		
No other pipe was installed during this audit.		
[192.619,192.621,192.623]	MAOP	60#
[192.5]	Class location :	Class 3
[192.53]	Are components qualified for use?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
All components were marked and are qualified.		
[192.63(a)(2)]	Pipe Size :	1/2 inch
[192.55,192.59]	Specification :	ASTM D-2513
[192.55,192.59]	Manufacturer :	Driscoplex
[192.55,192.59]	Pipe Grade :	PE 2406/2708
[192.55,192.59]	Wall Thickness :	0.90
[192.63]	Are pipe, valves, and fittings properly marked for identification?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
All fittings were properly marked during this audit.		
[192.227]	Welder qualification date:	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
No welding was conducted during this audit.		
[192.227]	Welder's Name:	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
No welding was conducted during this audit		
[192.225,192.275,192.277,192.279,192.283]	Is pipe joined in accordance with approved written procedures?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Pipe was being installed following approved written procedures.		
[192.285]	Date of Qualification :	3-18-15
General Comment:		
Qualification dates are 3-18-15 to 3-18-16		

AMEREN ILLINOIS COMPANY/6-25-2015

Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. If an item is marked Unsatisfactory, Not Applicable, or Not Checked, an explanation must be included in this report.

[192.285]	Joiner's name:	Randy Zucker
[192.455]	Is buried metallic pipe coated?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
Staff did not inspect metallic pipe during this	audit.	
[192.455(a)(1)]	Is cathodic protection being provided?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
Staff did not inspect metallic pipe during this	audit. Pipe being installed was plastic.	
[192.455(a)(1)]	Is cathodic protection being provided? By Anodes:	No
General Comment:		
Staff did not inspect any piping for cathodic	protection during this audit.	
[192.455(a)(1)]	Is cathodic protection being provided? By Rectifier:	No
General Comment:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
The system that was installed was all plastic	:	
[192.461]	Does coating meet 192.461?	Not Applicable
General Comment:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
All piping that was inspected was plastic.		
[192.461(c)]	Is coating inspected just prior to being installed in the ditch?	Not Applicable
General Comment:	<u> </u>	
All piping that was inspected was plastic.		
[192.479]	Are above ground facilities cleaned and coated or jacketed as needed?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Above ground piping was coated and painte	d.	
[192.467]	Are pipelines electrically isolated from other underground metallic structures?	Not Checked
General Comment:		
All piping that was inspected was plastic.		
[192.319]	Is ditch back-filled to provide firm support and prevent damage to pipe or coating?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Service lines were directional bored from the	e gas main to the house.	
[192.321(c)]	Is plastic pipe installed as to minimize shear and tensile forces?	Satisfactory

AMEREN ILLINOIS COMPANY/6-25-2015

Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. If an item is marked Unsatisfactory, Not Applicable, or Not Checked, an explanation must be included in this report.

General Comment:		
Service lines were installed to minimize shear forces.		
[192.321]	Does plastic pipe have means of locating while underground?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Locating wire was installed with the main and service l	ines.	
[192.325]	Are required clearances from underground structures being maintained?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Clearance was bing maintained during service line ins	tallation.	
[192.327(a)]	Is required cover being obtained for the service line?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
The correct cover was being obtained on these service	lines.	
[192.503]	Are general testing requirements being met?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Service lines were air tested to 102 psig for 10 minutes	s.	
[192.517]	Are records being made of strength and leak tests?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Records are being maintained of the leak test.		
[192.807]	Were covered employees Operator Qualification records reviewed to ensure qualification?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Staff inspected the qualification records of the two emp	ployees at the job site.	
[192.805(c)]	Were non-qualified personnel being directed and observed by a qualified individual?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
No non-qualified employees were at this job site.		
[192.805(c)]	Were span of control limitations being followed?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
All employee were qualified at this job site.		
Was a Protocol 9 (Form 15) Completed?		No
General Comment:		
A protocol 9 was not performed during this audit.		
[192.614]	Were One Call Notifications performed as required?	Satisfactory

AMEREN ILLINOIS COMPANY/6-25-2015

Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. If an item is marked Unsatisfactory, Not Applicable, or Not Checked, an explanation must be included in this report.

General Comment:		
The Julie dig number is listed below.		
[192.614]	Dig Ticket #	X001481931
[192.383(b)]	Is an EFV installed on any new or replaced service line serving a single-family residence?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
EFV's were installed on service lines as close to the main as possible.		
[192.751]	Are adequate steps taken to minimize the potential for accidental ignition?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Steps were taken to minimize accidenta	al ignition.	

Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. If an item is marked Unsatisfactory, Not Applicable, or Not Checked, an explanation must be included in this report.